





High-Resolution, Country-Scale Snow Depth Estimation From Satellite Images

Konrad Schindler Photogrammetry & Remote Sensing ETH Zürich

Motivation, Objectives, and Context



Objective

- Large scale, real-time snow depth mapping
 - Switzerland and Liechtenstein, but can be extended
- High spatial and temporal resolution
 - New snow depth map every **7 days**
 - Spatial resolution of **10 m GSD**
- Requiring only remote sensing data, no ground measurements
 - Sentinel-1 (SAR), Sentinel-2 (multispectral optical), DEM
- Why?
 - Safety evaluation for snow activities and alpine communities
 - Meltwater estimation \Rightarrow planning for hydroelectric energy and strong melt events
 - Long term monitoring of snow dynamics



Context

Previous snow depth products mostly belong to one of two groups:

- Low resolution (e.g. 1 km GSD), large scale maps based on scalable variables (e.g. meteorology data, ground measurements¹)
- Localised, high resolution snow depth maps produced by comparing two DSMs

This work is a collaboration with ExoLabs, who produce daily high resolution snow depth maps using TCAM.²

[1] <u>https://www.slf.ch/en/avalanche-bulletin-and-snow-situation/snow-maps/information-about-snow-depth.html</u>

[2] Wulf, Hendrik, et al. "High-resolution snow depth monitoring for entire mountain ranges." 2020 7th Swiss Conference on Data Science (SDS). IEEE, 2020.

Snow Depth Products



SLF Hydrology snow depth map, 1 km GSD



ExoLabs snow depth map, 10 m GSD



Ski touring path



Ski touring path

Snow depth map at 1 km GSD





Ski touring path

Snow depth map at 1 km GSD



TCAM snow depth map at 10 m GSD





Ski touring path

Snow depth map at 1 km GSD

TCAM snow depth map at 10 m GSD

Current results at 10 m GSD







Technical Details



Method Overview

Main steps:

- 1. Satellite (optical and SAR) images are accumulated for 7 days
- 2. Images are combined with DEM and manually computed features
- 3. ConvGRU network extracts information from temporal and spatial patterns
- 4. Probabilistic regression is used for producing uncertainty estimates



Data Preprocessing

- **DEM**: compute features from elevation map
 - Aspect
 - Slope
 - o TRI
 - o TPI

• Sentinel-1 (SAR):

- Preprocessing (thermal and border noise, terrain...)¹
 Mosaicking
- Temporal stack

• Sentinel-2 (multispectral optical):

- Cloud masking
- Mosaicking
- Temporal stack

[1] Truckenbrodt, John, et al. "Towards Sentinel-1 SAR analysis-ready data: A best practices assessment on preparing backscatter data for the cube." *Data* 4.3 (2019): 93.



Sentinel-1 preprocessing with SNAP

Temporal Stacking

Target resolution in time: new map every 7 days – not in sync with the Sentinel satellites.

Sentinel-2 images also suffer from cloud occlusions and shadows – aim to minimise their impact.



Maintain a running, clean time-series with the latest information for each pixel.

Raw images

Stacked images



Time



Elevation and Terrain Data

Pre-computation of terrain characteristics from high-resolution DEM.



Fine Details



Ultracam map from SLF/SwissTopo vs. TCAM map, both at 10 m GSD. The Ultracam data contains a lot more high frequency information.

Much of the **high frequency details** present in high resolution snow depth maps are missing from TCAM maps

These details correlate with observables like the terrain and the snow line.

Goal: learn the details from the available high-resolution data.

Available High-Fidelity Data

High quality snow depth maps are available for sparse dates and locations.



Location	Date		
Dischma valley	$\begin{array}{c} 16/03/2019\\ 06/04/2020\\ 16/04/2021 \end{array}$		
Dorfberg	$\begin{array}{c c} 11/12/2020\\ 25/02/2021 \end{array}$		
Gaudergrat	$\begin{array}{c c} 12/12/2018 \\ 12/03/2019 \\ 07/02/2020 \\ 17/02/2020 \end{array}$		
Latschüelfurgga	$\begin{array}{c c} 18/12/2020\\ 24/02/2021\\ 26/03/2021 \end{array}$		
Schürlialp	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		

Method Overview



Architecture Details – ConvGRU Network



Probabilistic Deep Learning

Standard regression







Probabilistic Deep Learning

Standard regression



Estimate

Probabilistic regression



Estimate Uncertainty

Probabilistic Deep Learning

Standard regression





Probabilistic regression





š





Qualitative Results



The learned model produces results with fine details due to snow accumulation and melting patterns, which previous maps were not able to model.



Qualitative Results

These details match the high resolution maps used for validation.



Quantitative evaluations against high-resolution airborne ground truth show a significant improvement over previous large-scale methods.

Method	All dates				Winter 2020/2021			
Ablation	MAE (↓)	RMSE (↓)	ρ (↑)	ME (0)	MAE (↓)	RMSE (↓)	ρ (↑)	ME (0)
TCAM	-	-	–	-	0.69 (+0.11)	0.94 (+0.14)	0.51 (-0.17)	0.07
Proposed method	0.68	0.91	0.59	0.08	0.58	0.80	0.68	0.06
No S2	0.69 (+0.01)	0.93 (+0.02)	0.59 (0.00)	0.22	0.64 (+0.06)	0.88 (+0.08)	0.63 (-0.05)	0.26
No S1	0.72 (+0.04)	0.95 (+0.04)	0.53 (-0.06)	0.06	0.61 (+0.03)	0.83 (+0.03)	0.65 (-0.03)	-0.03
No DEM	0.70 (+0.02)	0.94 (+0.03)	0.55 (-0.04)	0.01	0.64 (+0.06)	0.87 (+0.07)	0.61 (-0.07)	-0.08
PT only	0.74 (+0.06)	1.00 (+0.09)	0.50 (-0.09)	0.19	0.67 (+0.09)	0.92 (+0.12)	0.56 (-0.12)	0.15
FT only	0.67 (-0.01)	0.91 (0.00)	0.59 (0.00)	0.11	0.58 (0.00)	0.79 (-0.01)	0.69 (+0.01)	0.06
MSE	0.66 (-0.02)	0.89 (-0.02)	0.62 (+0.03)	0.11	0.57 (-0.01)	0.79 (-0.01)	0.69 (+0.01)	0.07
No recurrence	2.23 (+1.55)	2.50 (+1.59)	0.19 (-0.40)	-1.85	2.58 (+2.00)	2.78 (+1.98)	0.30 (-0.38)	-2.53

Quantitative Results

Scatter plots of estimated vs. reference values.



Old ExoLabs maps

New maps

Results – Uncertainty Estimation

Regressed uncertainty estimates are well calibrated.



Model	ECE (↓)	ABC (↓)	$\overline{\sigma^2}$
Proposed method	0.190	0.011	0.80
No S2	0.241 (+0.051)	0.020 (+0.009)	0.78
No S1	0.389 (+0.199)	0.047 (+0.036)	0.79
No DEM	0.163 (-0.027)	0.002 (-0.009)	0.86
PT only	0.917 (+0.727)	0.279 (+0.268)	0.09
FT only	0.256 (+0.066)	0.024 (+0.013)	0.75
No recurrence	2291 (+2291)	0.273 (+0.262)	2296

To go further, we can integrate ground measurements of snow depth, if available.

Fortunately, the network of automated measurement stations (IMIS) is fairly dense in Switzerland.







Main idea: compute the residuals (differences) at ground stations, fit a Gaussian Process that estimates the residuals for every point in the grid.





The Gaussian Process also delivers uncertainties for the estimated residuals.







Can we do better than than simply adding the estimated residuals? Yes! Solution: maximum a posteriori (MAP) / Kalman adjustment of predictions.



MAP estimate means we also obtain a-posteriori uncertainties.



Final estimates



A posteriori uncertainty map



Adjustment with sparse points works very well, since errors are spatially correlated.



Residuals of initial results

Residuals of adjusted results

Adjustment balances smoothness against locally adapted updates.



Initial estimate for 22.01.2023

Final estimate for 22.01.2023

ETH zürich

Strong correction in Pizol

Temporal Profile

...which leads to more accurate snow depth time series.



Results

Adjustment with in-situ data further increases the accuracy of the snow depth maps.



Conclusion

- Snow maps of much higher quality than before, can be produced weekly, at 10 m GSD, from scalable / free data sources.
- Spatially explicit, calibrated uncertainty maps to help users interpret the snow depth estimates for downstream tasks.
- 3. Optionally, corrections based on ground measurements further improve accuracy, approaching that of stereo VHR satellite methods.



Caye Daudt, Rodrigo et al., «Snow depth estimation at country-scale with high spatial and temporal resolution» ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing 197, 105-121









Rodrigo Caye Daudt



Jan Wegner



Hendrik Wulf



Reik Leiterer



Konrad Schindler



Elisabeth Hafner



Yves Bühler